UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/803,907 03/19/2004		Yong Duek Kim	YHK-0133	6778	
34610 KED & ASSO	7590 04/18/2007 OCIATES LLP		EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 2212	00	HAILEMARIAM, EMMANUEL			
Chantilly, VA 20153-1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2609		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		04/18/2007	PAF	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Apı	olication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		. 10	/803,907	KIM ET AL.	KIM ET AL.			
		Exa	aminer	Art Unit				
		Em	manuel Hailemariam	2609				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears	on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comp period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AALING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nunication. atutory period will app will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUNION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATIO	CATION.  eply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this of the company				
Status			•					
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on		•				
2a)∏	·	2b)⊠ This actio	on is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٠,٣	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)								
6)⊠	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or elec	ction requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) accepted	d or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is	required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	· t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	Paper No(	s)/Mail Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>1109/2005</u> .		5)  Notice of 1 6)  Other:	nformal Patent Application				
, · ·	· ·		· — —	<del></del>				

Art Unit: 2609

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract should generally be limited to a single paragraph. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3-8, 10-15, and 17-21- are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kim (US 7,095,888).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

As to claim 1, Kim discloses, a method for calculating an Average Picture Value (APL)(APL, column 1, line 18), comprising: applying a first weight to a red data; (figure 3 (element 140)); applying a second weight to a green data; (figure 3 (element 150)); applying a third weight to a blue data; (figure 3 (element 160)); and calculating the APL for the red, green and blue data with the applied weights (column 2, lines, 30-32 fig.3 (element 240)).

As to claim 3, Kim discloses, wherein each of the weights has different value in each red, green, blue data (weights multiplied with the red, green and blue color data are different, value change, column 2, lines, 30-34).

As to claim 4, Kim discloses, wherein the step of applying the weight includes:

Multiplying the fist weight to the red data (multiplying unit 14 for multiplying summed red color data, see column 1, lines 39-41); multiplying the second weight to the green data (multiplying unit 15 for multiplying summed green color data, see column 1, lines 41-43); and multiplying the third weight to the blue data (multiplying unit 16 for multiplying summed blue color data, see column 1, lines 44-46).

As to claim 5, Kim discloses, wherein the step of calculating the APL (calculating the APL value, see column, 2, line 30) includes: calculating a first APL for the red data, a second APL for the green data and a third APL for the blue data (the weights multiplied with the red, green and blue color data are different, see column 2, lines, 30-33); adding the first, the second, the third APLs for the red, the green and the blue data to produce the summation therefor (a summing unit 17 for summing up the red, green and blue data, see column, 1, lines 45-46); and calculating a mean value of the summation ( averaging the summed red, green and blue color data values, see column 1, lines 47-49).

**As to claim 6**, Kim discloses, wherein the weights are changeable (the weights of the three colors are changed, see column 4, lines 58-60).

As to claim 7, Kim discloses, wherein the weights are changeable by users when a user inputs the weights of the red, green and blue color pixels

(0.5.about.1.5) as binary numbers, see column, 5 lines, and 10-13).

As to claim 8, Kim discloses, an apparatus for calculating an Average Picture Level (APL) (APL, column 1, line 18) includes: means for applying a first, a second and a third weights to a red (red weight, figure 3 (element 140)), a green (green weight, figure 3 (element 150)) and a blue data (blue weight, figure 3 (element 160)), respectively; and an APL calculator for calculating the APL for the red, the green and the blue data with the applied weights (Calculating APL value, red, green and blue data see column 2, lines, and 30-32).

As to claim 10, Kim discloses, wherein the weights have different values in each red, green, blue data (weights multiplied with the red, green and blue color data are different, value change, column 2, lines, 30-34).

As to claim 11, Kim discloses, wherein the means for applying the weights includes: a first multiplier for multiplying the red data by the first weight (multiplying unit 14 for multiplying summed red color data, see column 1, lines 39-41); a second multiplier for multiplying the green data by the second weight (multiplying unit 15 for multiplying summed green color data, see column 1, lines 41-43); and a third multiplier for multiplying the blue data by the third weight (multiplying unit 16 for multiplying summed blue color data, see column 1, lines 44-46).

As to claim 12, Kim discloses, wherein the APL calculator calculates a first APL for the red data, a second APL for the green data and a third APL for the blue data

(The weights multiplied with the red, green and blue color data are different, see column 2, lines, 30-33); adding the first, second, third APLs for the red,

Art Unit: 2609

The green and the blue data to produce the summation therefore (a summing unit 17 for summing up the red, green and blue data, see column, 1, lines 45-46);

and calculating the mean value of the summation (averaging the summed red, green and blue color data values, see column 1, lines 47-49).

As to claim 13, Kim discloses, wherein the weights are changeable (the weights of the three colors are changed, see column 4, lines 58-60).

As to claim 14, Kim discloses, wherein the weights are changeable by users (when a user inputs the weights of the red, green and blue color pixels

(0.5.about.1.5) as binary numbers, see column, 5 lines, and 10-13).

As to claim 15, Kim discloses a plasma display (plasma display, column 1, lines 7-8) includes: means for applying a first, a second and a third weights to a red, a green and a blue data (weights multiplied with the red, green and blue color data are different, value change, column 2, lines, 30-34). Respectively; an APL calculator for calculating an APL for the red data, the green data and the blue data with the applied weights (calculating APL value, red, green and blue data, see column 2, lines, 30-32); and a driving circuit for displaying a picture using the APL (see.fig.2 and fig.3).

As to claim 17, Kim discloses a plasma display of claim 15, wherein the weights have different values in the red, green, blue data, respectively (weights multiplied with the red, green and blue color data are different, value change, column 2, lines, 30-34).

As to claim 18, Kim discloses a plasma display of claim 15, wherein the means for applying weights includes: a first multiplier for multiplying the red data by the first weight; a second multiplier for multiplying the green data by the second weight; and a

Art Unit: 2609

third multiplier for multiplying the blue data by the third weight (multiplying unit 14 for multiplying summed red color data, see column 1, lines 39-41).

As to claim 19, Kim discloses a plasma display of claim 18, wherein the APL (calculating the APL value, see column, 2, line, 30); includes: Calculator calculates a first APL for the red data, a second APL for the green data and a third APL for the blue data (the weights multiplied with the red, green and blue color data are different, see column 2, lines, 30-33); adds first, second, third APLs for the red, the green and the blue data to produce the summation therefore (a summing unit 17 for summing up the red, green and blue data, column,1, lines 45-46); and calculates a mean value of the summation (example, averaging the summed red, green and blue color data values, see column 1, lines 47-49).

As to claim 20, Kim discloses a plasma display of claim 19, wherein the driving circuit differently controls the number of sustain pulses according to the mean value (see fig.2 and fig.3) col. 3 lines 42-61).

As to claim 21, Kim discloses a method of claim 15, wherein the weights are changeable by users (when a user inputs the weights of the red, green and blue color pixels (0.5.about.1.5) as binary numbers, see column, 5 lines, 10-13).

Art Unit: 2609

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 9, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Kim (US 7,095,888) in view of the admitted prior Art.

As to claims 2, 9, and 16, Kim discloses that the weights image data

corresponding to size of pixel (column 1, lines, 63-67). But Kim does not expressly

disclose the sizes of red, green and blue sup-pixels.

However, The admitted prior Art discloses the sizes of red, green and blue sup-

pixels (See figure 2 and 3).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention

was made to substitute the single pixel of Kim with sub-pixel The Admitted Prior Art.

The motivation to substitute the single pixel of Kim with sub-pixel is to reduce the size of

the display in the single pixel in order to obtain various types of color display screen

within the single pixel.

Page 8

Art Unit: 2609

Page 9

#### Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cho (US 20050140587) disclose Method and apparatus for decreasing an afterimage of a plasma display panel. Kooet al. (20020175922) disclose eliminating flicker in plasma display panel. Choi (US 7161607) disclose method of driving plasma display panel and apparatus thereof.

## Correspondence

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel Hailemariam whose telephone number is 571-270-1545. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amare Mengistu can be reached on 571-272-7674. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2609

Page 10

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

E.H 03/23/07

AMARE MENGISTU